

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

MECEIVED 3: 33

r .		* 1				0
In t	he	M	att	ter	0	t

Patrick Sullivan & Lake Broadcasting, Inc.

MB Docket No. 14-82

Application for Consent to Assignment of License of FM Translator Station W238CE, Montgomery, Atlanta

ORIGINAL

DATE OF HEARING:__June 24, 2014____ VOLUME:___1_

PLACE OF HEARING: __WASHINGTON, D.C. __ PAGES: __1-60__

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC. 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 TELEPHONE (202) 234-4433

BEFORE THE

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

IN THE MATTER OF:

: MB Docket No.

: 14-82

PATRICK SULLIVAN

(Assignor)

: FRN 0003749041,

: 0006119796,

and

: 0006149843, : 0017196064

LAKE BROADCASTING, INC.

(Assignee)

: Facility ID No.

: 146162

Application for Consent to :

Assignment of License of FM: File No. BALFT-Translator Station W238CE, : 20120523ABY

Montgomery, Alabama

Tuesday, June 24, 2014

Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Hearing Room A Washington, D.C. 20554

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing, pursuant to notice, at 10:00 a.m.

BEFORE:

THE HONORABLE RICHARD L. SIPPEL, Administrative Law Judge

APPEARANCES:

On Behalf of Patrick Sullivan and Lake Broadcasting, Inc.:

JEROLD L. JACOBS, ESQ.

of: Law Offices of Jerold L. Jacobs

1629 K Street, NW

Suite 300

Washington, D.C. 20006

(202) 508-3383 (202) 331-3759 fax

On Behalf of The Federal Communications Commission:

GARY SCHONMAN, ESQ.

of: Federal Communications Commission

Enforcement Bureau

Investigations & Hearings Division

445 12th Street, SW Washington, D.C. 20554

(202) 418-1795 (202) 418-5916 fax

ALSO PRESENT:

MARY GOSSE, Clerk AUSTIN RANDAZZO, Attorney-Advisor

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 1 9:59 a.m. 2 JUDGE SIPPEL: This is the pre-hearing 3 conference for MB Docket No. 1482. The hearing 4 designation order was released May 23rd of this 5 6 year. Appearing for the Bureau? 7 SCHONMAN: Good morning, Your MR. 8 9 My name is Gary Schonman. I'm appearing today on behalf of the Chief Enforcement Bureau, 10 also on behalf of my colleague, William Knowles-11 Kellett, who is the Counsel of record, but had a 12 scheduling conflict and couldn't be here today. 13 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, how many hearings 14 15 do we have in this place? MR. SCHONMAN: Well, we have several 16 17 hearings in various stages. And, he has a conflict. 18 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Well, that's 19 all right. You are as capable as any, more so, 20 perhaps, in some sense. So, we'll work with what 21 22 we have. 23 Mr. Jacobs?

JUDGE SIPPEL: Good morning.

MR. JACOBS: Good morning, Your Honor.

24

1	MR. JACOBS: I'm appearing on behalf of
2	Patrick Sullivan and Lake Broadcasting, Inc., the
3	proposed seller and buyer of an FM translator
4	station.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. And, how
6	about the the assignee would Lake
7	Broadcasting.
8	MR. JACOBS: Yes.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Your client would be the
10	prospective purchaser.
11	MR. JACOBS: It's, actually, both,
12	because
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: I thought so.
14	MR. JACOBS: the assignor, Mr.
15	Sullivan, is the nominal lead party.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Okay.
17	And, Patrick Sullivan is not nobody
18	has appeared for Patrick Sullivan. I wasn't
19	expecting to have anybody appear for him.
20	MR. JACOBS: No. I'm representing both
21	parties.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. That being
23	Sullivan also?
24	MR. JACOBS: Yes.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. So, Sullivan,
de	

- 1	1
1	Lake and Mr. Rice.
2	MR. JACOBS: Right.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.
4	MR. JACOBS: Mr. Rice is the President
5	of Lake Broadcasting, Inc.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Okay. All right.
7	And, it's the conduct of Mr. Lake.
8	MR. JACOBS: Mr. Rice.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Rice, that is
10	the subject of the hearing designation order.
11	MR. JACOBS: That's correct.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: Do you agree with that,
13	Mr. Schonman?
14	MR. SCHONMAN: Yes, sir, I do.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. All right.
16	I issued a pre-hearing order on June
17	18th, I mean, actually, it's over and above the
18	first pre-hearing order, it's the second order,
19	listing things that we wanted to talk about
20	today, that I did.
21	Now, before I start, though, is there
22	anything is there any development in the case
23	Is there anything I should know about before we
24	start with this?
25	No?

MR. SCHONMAN: No. Mr. Jacobs and I 1 have been speaking, along with William Knowles-2 Kellett, about proceeding along with discovery 3 and all. And, I have to say our conversations 4 have been very amicable and productive. 5 JUDGE SIPPEL: Good. Are you agreeing 6 7 pretty much about the scope of discovery? MR. JACOBS: Well, we'll see. 8 JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. All right, that's 9 good enough. That's fair enough. All right. 10 Well, the first item here is 11 description of the evidence which you intend to 12 13 put on, and this question of rehabilitation. I mean, there's really two issues, rehabilitation 14 15 and reliability as to truthfulness. I'm trying to narrow things as quickly as, you know, not to 16 waste a lot of time with this. I've spent a lot 17 time on this, but please clarify if 18 I'm 19 getting too abrupt. What evidence would you plan to put on 20 with respect to rehabilitation? 21 JACOBS: A description of 22 various activities that Mr. Rice has been 23 involved in, especially, since he left prison at 24 25 the end of 1999.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Right. So, that's a big
2	chunk of time.
3	MR. JACOBS: Yes. And, plenty of time
4	for him to be involved in anything from tower
5	rentals, to engineering assistance to people, to
6	operating an LMA, where you provide the
7	programming to a radio station.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: What's an LMA?
9	MR. JACOBS: Local Marketing Agreement.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. And, that would
11	be can you just explain briefly what that is,
12	what's the connection with broadcasts with LMA?
13	MR. JACOBS: Well, what he is doing
14	under that agreement is, providing the
15	programming, the musical programming, for the
16	station.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.
18	MR. JACOBS: And, it's a radio it's
19	an AM radio station. So, he's involved in radio
20	programming.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. It's a field that
22	he knows, assumably.
23	MR. JACOBS: Yes.
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.
25	MR. JACOBS: You know, before his
3	ı

licenses were revoked, he was the president and main figure of five FM full-power radio stations.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, I'm, generally, aware of the fact that he was -- it's unfortunate that he ran into this brick wall. But, I'm trying to figure -- that's what I want to hear, is how he's going to extricate himself.

Okay, well, you've answered that question pretty well. But again, what kind of evidence to show that he is rehabilitated? I mean, you know, what he's done since he -- what activities he's engaged in since he got out of incarceration, he left incarceration.

But, he's -- is he -- well, let me put it very directly to you. Are you offering almost an affirmative defense that he's -- he capacity, didn't have the that he was incapacitated mentally at some point, because have them, I've read them. I mean, I read what I read a letter from a was given to me. psychiatrist, and I read a psychiatric report that was in the application. And, I put you all on notice that I was going to do that, I was asking for it. It was sent to me by Mr. Bill Skellet.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And so, this, in my mind this makes me 1 think, well, is this going to be an issue that we 2 are going to have to contend with, with evidence? 3 JACOBS: We intend to have a MR. 4 5 psychiatrist --JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. 6 MR. JACOBS: -- re-examine Mr. Rice, 7 and provide a report on his current mental 8 9 health, and prognosis for the future. And, we haven't worked out all the 10 details yet about -- I'm not even positive who 11 that person will be. I know who I would like it 12 to be, namely, Dr. Stillings, who previously 13 examined Mr. Rice. 14 15 JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. I hear you. MR. JACOBS: I don't know whether he 16 17 will be willing to participate, whether he will 18 be willing to come to Washington, or that he will be willing to be deposed. 19 But, I guess the best scenario we hope 20 21 for is that he will be willing to participate. 22 He will examine Mr. Rice. He will be deposed, 23 and he will come to Washington. 24 JUDGE SIPPEL: Now, what kind of a time 25 frame would you anticipate then, because I'm

thinking in terms of setting a hearing date. What 1 do you think, in terms of a time frame, or a time 2 line, how far out, at least how many months out. 3 Do you think there would be a way from having him 4 prepared to go, or some alternative psychiatrist? 5 MR. JACOBS: Well, that's one of the 6 main topics that Mr. Schonman and I have been 7 talking about. 8 9 JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes. think he MR. JACOBS: And, I has 10 ventured that a period of five or six months 11 12 might be required to conduct discovery, including 13 the depositions and what not. I rely on his judgment on amounts of 14 15 time, because he's been involved in a lot more 16 cases than I have. MR. SCHONMAN: I could jump in here, if 17 18 you don't mind. JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, fine. 19 You don't mind -- I mean, do you mind? 20 21 I mean, I don't want to --22 SCHONMAN: What the Bureau was anticipating is that we would certainly want to 23 24 do request for admissions, followed by 25 interrogatories and document requests.

1 JUDGE SIPPEL: They can go out promptly, though. 2 3 MR. SCHONMAN: Yes. And, depending upon the responses we get, particularly, to the 4 interrogatories, we would schedule depositions to 5 the extent they are necessary. 6 7 We would anticipate that the Bureau would retain a psychiatrist of its own to 8 evaluate the report that Mr. Rice's psychiatrist 9 10 develops. And so, in addition to deposing Mr. 11 12 Rice's psychiatrist, I would assume that Mr. 13 Jacobs would want to depose the Bureau's expert witness. 14 given timing 15 So, the of 16 interrogatories and document requests, and I 17 don't know to what extent there might be motion practice, if answers are not entirely responsive. 18 I would hope that -- I don't get the feeling that 19 20 that's going to be a problem. JUDGE SIPPEL: That's like sending a 21 2.2 post card when you are on vacation. We know we 23 are going to see that. 24 MR. SCHONMAN: Yes. JUDGE SIPPEL: A little bit. 25

MR. SCHONMAN: I would anticipate 1 probably more than six or seven months until we 2 3 have fleshed everything out. You know, the hearing designation order, certainly, indicated 4 that there should be a thorough examination to 5 make sure that we've collected all the facts 6 necessary for you, Your Honor, to make a reasoned 7 determination on the issues. 8 9 So, we are thinking in terms of, perhaps, a hearing date in the spring of 2015. We 10 are already up to -- almost up to July. We have 11 12 summer vacations coming up. We have Thanksqiving holiday. Then, of course, the holidays 13 That tends to get in the way. 14 December. And, I think there was some concern 15 about possible travel in the wintertime, either 16 17 from Missouri here, or out to Missouri, where 18 some of the witnesses might be. In addition, as I understand it from 19 my discussions with Mr. Jacobs, there might be 20 21 character witnesses, perhaps, in the forms of statements submitted --22 23 JUDGE SIPPEL: Right. -- if I'm correct. MR. SCHONMAN: 24 25 And, I know that Your Honor indicated

in an order that you preferred not to have depositions of character witnesses. Certainly, we'd like to have the opportunity, that is, the Bureau would like to have the opportunity, to at least speak with the witnesses, not, necessarily, depose them, but at least have the opportunity to flesh out any statements they may be intending to submit into the hearing, into the record. JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Well, yes. don't mind them being deposed, I just don't want them to come -- I don't want them to appear as witnesses, unless it's -- unless one side or the other feels it's essential. MR. SCHONMAN: Yes. Well --JUDGE SIPPEL: I don't mind them being deposed. MR. SCHONMAN: -- understood. And, the Bureau certainly has no intention of dragging things out, and unnecessarily forcing people to come and testify, when it's not necessary. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, it's the inconvenience. I mean, they get agitated and irritated if they are dragged in here for testimony. And normally, I don't find that it's necessary, but sometimes there's a letter that,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	you know, it's an important, witness important
2	letter, that, yes, it becomes necessary.
3	MR. SCHONMAN: All that being said
4	then, I think what we would anticipate is a
5	hearing date some time in the spring, perhaps,
6	March, in that neighborhood.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, what's the date
8	do you know, what's the date of the Game Show?
9	Austin, do you know?
10	MR. RANDAZZO: I don't know.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: It's in the spring, I
12	think, of next year. I call that The Game Show.
13	They give us a schedule, and it's
14	MR. SCHONMAN: I'm afraid I don't
15	remember the
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: But, I know it's in the
17	I know it's next year, I would suspect it's
18	around the springtime. And, I'd like this done
19	before I start Game Show.
20	MR. SCHONMAN: All right. Again, I
21	don't the date of The Game Show schedule.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, you'll quickly
23	find out.
24	MR. SCHONMAN: And, I would anticipate,
25	too, based on my discussions with Mr. Jacobs,

that I think we are probably looking at a two-day 1 2 hearing in this case. JUDGE SIPPEL: That sounds reasonable. 3 MR. JACOBS: One or two. 4 MR. SCHONMAN: Yes, relatively short. 5 JUDGE SIPPEL: And, really, the only 6 witness that's going to be -- the only extensive 7 cross examination is probably going to be the 8 9 psychiatric testimony. 10 MR. SCHONMAN: And, Mr. Rice. SIPPEL: And, Mr. Rice, of 11 JUDGE 12 Of course, yes, and Mr. Rice. 13 Now, are you offering your expert, you don't have to give me a final answer on this, but 14 is it for the purpose of rebutting Mr. Rice's 15 In other words, rebutting the 16 psychiatrist? 17 report, or is it doing an independent assessment 18 of Mr. Rice? MR. SCHONMAN: The former. We have no 19 20 anticipation at this time that our expert witness will conduct his or her own examination of Mr. 21 22 Rice. At this point, it's our expectation 23 would evaluate Mr. Rice's expert 24 our either for the purpose of 25 expert's report,

rebutting or corroborating the information in 1 2 that report. JUDGE SIPPEL: You want a totally 3 4 independent assessment. MR. SCHONMAN: Yes, and, certainly, we 5 are not expert in this area, in order to 6 7 adequately evaluate a psychiatrist's report. So, we need our own psychiatrist to conduct that 8 9 evaluation of the report. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, after all, this 10 entire case that we've been involved in, maybe we 11 12 should be qualified. 13 Well, that sounds fine to me. MR. JACOBS: Also, in choosing a date, 14 I'd like to remind the Court that the Passover 15 16 and Easter holidays occur at the beginning of 17 April. JUDGE SIPPEL: I am hoping they can do 18 19 this before April. MR. JACOBS: Well, hopefully. 20 I understand. JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. 21 22 Yes, I'm aware of that. I'm, generally, aware of that, but I haven't factored that in yet. But, 23 yes, we know they will, certainly, take that into 24 consideration. 25

MR. SCHONMAN: I will say, I've discussed this previously with Mr. Jacobs, that if we find that we've concluded discovery earlier than we anticipated, we would ask Your Honor to, perhaps, move up the hearing date, if it's compatible with everyone's schedule.

But, right now we just don't know, because we are just getting started with discovery.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, but once -- I think once you lock in a psychiatrist that the respective sides are happy with, discovery should move along pretty rapidly, I'm assuming. There may be glitches, but we'll address those if they come up. Okay.

oh, the other issue -- well, that's enough on that one, on the rehabilitation. And, of course again, I'm not mentioning much about the character witnesses, but just to repeat what I've already said in the order and here, you know, just don't inconvenience them anymore than you have to. And, I will also act accordingly, but if there's one that's really important, and that I think I should, you know, examine his demeanor, hear his demeanor, and testimony and

1 that kind of thing, we'll bring him in as a 2 witness. Okay. Other documents, well that remains to 3 be seen. 4 MR. JACOBS: If we could back up, Your 5 Honor --6 7 JUDGE SIPPEL: Sure. MR. JACOBS: -- to Item 2, on the 8 question of stipulations. And, I'm not sure this 9 10 is, actually, a stipulation, but Mr. Schonman and I were discussing it at length, where we thought 11 12 it would be an appropriate point for the --13 chronologically, for the evidence of rehabilitation to begin, keeping in mind that the 14 15 hearing designation order says that the presiding 16 judge is prohibited from re-litigating any of the 17 findings or conclusions of the previous hearing. 18 So, what we, I think, were kind of 19 getting to was selecting a date corresponding to 20 the beginning of Mr. Rice's incarceration, which 21 September 30, 1994, the theory, was on 22 theoretical theory, that rehabilitation begins 23 when the prison term begins. 24 JUDGE SIPPEL: Makes sense, that makes 25 sense to me.

Do you have any objection with that? 1 think it's, MR. SCHONMAN: I 2 preliminarily, I don't have a problem with that, 3 I think it's a matter which I would like to speak 4 more with Mr. Jacobs about. But, on theory, I 5 don't have a problem with that. 6 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, what could be 7 wrong with that? I mean, you are right, when he 8 9 went to prison, when he would start his prison sentence, or what he was convicted of doing that 10 was wrong, that's as logical a place to start 11 12 rehabilitation as any other time. Why would he 13 start rehabilitation a year later? MR. SCHONMAN: Your Honor --14 15 JUDGE SIPPEL: Because that, factually, 16 is what happened. 17 MR. SCHONMAN: -- I'm not saying I 18 disagree with that. I think it's just something I'd like to talk more with my colleague, William 19 Skellet, about. 20 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. 21 22 SCHONMAN: And, we've been discussions, as Mr. Jacobs said. I think that 23 could very well be the operative date, but I 24 25 think it's something, if you don't mind, that we

1 JUDGE SIPPEL: No, no. 2 3 MR. SCHONMAN: -- could try to work out among ourselves. 4 JUDGE SIPPEL: This is all preliminary. 5 No, I'm just trying to be a little bit helpful. 6 7 Okay. All right. That would be one stipulation. Now, along the lines of that, I've got 8 9 a question or two. 10 Mr. Rice, wherever he resides, he has 11 to register as a sex offender, is that correct? 12 MR. JACOBS: That's correct. 13 JUDGE SIPPEL: And, what -- at what 14 level of sex offender is he registered as? MR. JACOBS: In the materials that I 15 16 have seen, they don't provide the gradations that 17 you saw in the Titus case. That said, they simply list the name 18 19 of the ex-con, and next to it a very, very brief 20 description of what the offenses were. So, in Mr. Rice's case, there appear 21 22 three entries. And, one is abbreviated, but meaning deviant sex assault, 23 second degree. And then, deviant sexual assault. 24 And, the third entry, sodomy. 25

1 JUDGE SIPPEL: That's not -- there's no sodomy 1, 2 or 3, it's just sodomy? 2 3 MR. JACOBS: No. JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Now, what 4 state is he registered in? 5 MR. JACOBS: Missouri. 6 7 JUDGE SIPPEL: Missouri. I think it's safe to say that all 8 states are different, but I thought this was kind 9 10 of a uniform thing. I know I've seen them in the State of Delaware in a local paper, a rural 11 paper, where they have the person's name, but 12 13 they do list the class 1, 2 or 3, the class of 14 the offense. But, that doesn't 15 necessarily, that Missouri has to do it that way. MR. JACOBS: I haven't seen it. The 16 17 materials are rather complicated, repetitive, and 18 inconclusive. 19 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, as I say, I don't 20 think that really -- that really is a major concern here. It's more of a concern to the 21 22 public that's receiving the notice than it is, 23 really, to us here, as I see it. But, it's a 24 shorthand way of getting a feel for, you know,

how does the state look upon it, in terms of the

type of registration, or the publicity that they 1 2 give it. So, this could be -- I'm going to 3 assume that this is pretty bad. 4 MR. SCHONMAN: Your Honor, I wanted to 5 add that, the areas involving his classification 6 7 as a registered sex offender, that and other areas are avenues that we were intending to 8 9 pursue in discovery. 10 JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. 11 MR. SCHONMAN: Document requests, as well as interrogatories. 12 JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you. No, I would 13 expect that, but I'm just trying to -- I'm just 14 15 trying to get an up-front feel for what -- for my own understanding. 16 No, whatever you develop in discovery, 17 and whatever comes in at the trial will come in 18 at the trial. 19 consistently 20 he has Does he registered, in other words, there's never been 21 22 any missed -- he hasn't missed a date for 23 registration. MR. JACOBS: Not to my knowledge, no. 24 25 JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.

1	MR. JACOBS: And, the current report,
2	which I checked last night
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes.
4	MR. JACOBS: states compliant,
5	which means that he has done all the appropriate
6	registration.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: And, does he have a
8	parole officer that he reports to?
9	MR. JACOBS: He is released from
10	parole.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Completed his parole.
12	MR. JACOBS: Completed his parole many
13	years ago.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Okay. You
15	know, you've got some homework to do there.
16	Now, has there been any recurrence of
17	any of these of any crime involving sexual
18	deviation? Has there been in other words, has
19	he gotten another citation for doing something of
20	that nature, since he's gotten out of prison?
21	MR. JACOBS: To my knowledge, nothing
22	at all related to sex or anything else.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: So, he's, basically,
24	putting it in a common clause, I mean, he's been
25	clean since he's gotten out of prison.

1	MR. JACOBS: Exactly. Exactly.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: And, does he have his
3	alcoholic condition under control, his
4	alcoholism?
5	MR. JACOBS: To the best of my
6	knowledge, yes.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.
8	MR. SCHONMAN: And again, these are all
9	areas that the Bureau would want to pursue.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right, I realize
11	that. I realize that.
12	There's nothing
13	MR. JACOBS: Let me just to improve
14	and clarify the question of parole, Mr. Rice
15	completed his parole in August, 2002.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. So again, that's
17	quite some time ago. Okay.
18	And now, then you said that he's been
19	as far as being in the communications
20	business, he's been involved in creating
21	programming to be used by whatever station is
22	interested in his programming.
23	MR. JACOBS: Yes. He also helps in
24	engineering work. He is life certified as a
25	professional broadcast engineer. And, he is